

REMARKS

Claims 1-39 are pending in this application.

Claims 1-6, 14-19 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Emens et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,591,279 ("Emens").

Claims 7-13, 20-26 and 33-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Emens in view of Vaithilingam et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,411,724 ("Vaithilingam").

The Examiner's rejections are respectfully traversed.

Applicants have amended claims 1, 14, and 27 to more particularly define the invention. Applicants have added new claims 40-51. No new matter has been added by the amendments and the new claims and the amendments and new claims are fully supported by the original specification. For example, support for the new claims may be found on pages 29, 30, 39, and 43-47 and in FIGS. 4a, 6, 10-12, and 16-18.

Claims 1-39

The Examiner and applicants' representatives, Alexander Shvarts (Reg. No. 47,943) and Michael J. Chasan (Reg. No. 54,026), conducted a telephonic interview on April 11, 2006. Applicants and applicants' representatives wish to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended during the interview. During the interview, the Examiner and applicants' representatives discussed the claims and the cited references. Based on this discussion, applicants have amended the claims in order to place the application in better condition for allowance.

New Claims 40-51

Applicants' new independent claim 40 is directed toward a method for providing remote access to captured content. Using a local capture device, content regarding an event (e.g., content detected by a sensor) is captured. Upon receiving a user indication to upload the locally captured content to a remote computer over a communications network, the content is transmitted to a remote computer where the content is automatically associated with a user account and is automatically published to remote server that contains a database. The database stores locally captured content associated with the user account and is updated with the published content. A user may access the published content using a user access device.

Applicants' new independent claim 46 is directed toward a method for providing remote access to captured content. Using a local capture device, content regarding an event is captured and is transmitted to a remote computer, where the content is automatically associated with a user account and is automatically published to remote server that contains a database. The database stores locally captured content associated with the user account and is updated with the published content. A type of notification is determined based on the event type and that type of notification is communicated to a user to indicate that the content has been published to the server. A user may access the published content using a user access device.

Applicants respectfully submit that new independent claim 40 is in condition for allowance at least because none of


the cited references show or suggest uploading the locally captured content to a remote computer over a communications network, in response to receiving a user indication. Applicants respectfully submit that new independent claim 46 is in condition for allowance at least because none of the cited references show or suggest determining a type of notification to communicate to the user based on the event type.

Claims 41-45 and 47-51 depend from independent claims 40 and 46, respectively. For at least this reason, applicants respectfully submit that claims 41-45 and 47-51 are also in condition for allowance.

Conclusion

In view of the foregoing, applicants submit that this application, including claims 1-51, is now in condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance of this application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael J. Chasan
Registration No. 54,026
Agent for Applicants
FISH & NEAVE LLP
Customer No. 1473
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020
Tel.: (212) 596-9000
Fax: (212) 596-9090